

Appendix E

This is an example of the form a bond might take under the new bond structure. This bond would be a four page, single sheet, 8½ x 22 folded to 8½ x 11 form.

Customs Bond

Bond Number _____

In order to secure payment of duty, tax or charge and compliance with law or regulation as a result of activity coverage by any condition referenced below

(Principal's Name and Importer No.) as principal, and

(Surety Name and No.), as surety bind themselves to the United States in the amount or amounts, as set forth below.

Section I (Check one box and fill the applicable blank (spaces))

☐ Single Transaction Bond—Date of Arrival _____ Place of Arrival _____ Entry No. _____

Date of Entry _____

☐ District Class—Principal expects to conduct business in District of _____

☐ National Class—Applicable at all Customs locations

A Multi-Transaction bond remains in force for one year beginning _____, 19—. For each succeeding annual period, or until terminated, it constitutes a separate bond for each period at the amounts listed below for liability that accrues in each period.

A Multi-Transaction bond cannot be unilaterally terminated before the first anniversary date of the bond unless a party thereto can show sufficient cause and Customs concurs. The intention to terminate or renew this bond coverage must be conveyed within the time period and manner prescribed in the Customs Regulations.

Activity code	Activity name	Conditions codified in Customs regulations	Limit of liability
Section II (fill in as indicated—see Customs regulations for coverage available *)			

Section III (coverages in addition to the minimum coverage in Section II)

15. Total Importers Listed:

Importer No.	Importer name	Importer No.	Importer name
Section IV (List below all tradenames or unincorporated divisions including their account number(s))			

Principal and surety agree that any charge against the bond in any of the listed names is as though it was made by the principals.

Witness our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, 19— (Execution date).

Principal and Surety agree that they are bound to the same extent as if they executed a separate bond covering each of the set(s) of conditions set forth herein.

In no event shall the liability of the Surety for any and all claims under one set of bond conditions exceed the limit of liability specified for that particular set of bond conditions.

If the surety fails to appoint an agent under section 7, Title 6, United States Code, surety consents to service on the Clerk of any United States District Court or the U.S. Court of International Trade, where suit is brought on this bond. That clerk is to send notice of the service to surety at:

(mailing address requested by the surety).

Signed, sealed, and delivered in the presences of—

Name _____ Address _____ (Witness) _____

Name _____ Address _____ (Witness) _____

Name _____ Address _____ (Principal) _____

(Seal) _____ Name _____ Address _____ (Surety) _____

(Seal) _____ Name _____ Surety Agent _____ Agent Social Security No. _____

Certificate as to Corporate Principal

I, _____, certify that I am the _____ secretary of the corporation named as principal in the within bond, that _____, who signed the said bond on behalf of the principal, was then _____ of said corporation; that I know his signature, and his signature thereto, is genuine; and that said bond was duly signed, sealed, and attested for and in behalf of said corporation by authority of its governing body.

(Corporate Seal) _____
Note.—The above certificate to be used when no power of attorney has been filed with the district director of customs.

Schedule of Specific Activities and Minimum Coverage for the Principals

Code	Name	Activity
The conditions set forth in the Customs Regulation sections shown are required for the related activity ¹		
1	Importer (Brokers)	113.71.
2	Internat'l Carriers	113.72, 113.98, and 113.103.
3	Bonded Carrier	113.73, 113.98, 113.104, 113.105, 113.106, and 113.108.
4	Cartmen & Lighterman	113.74, 113.98, and 113.104.
5	Private Carrier	113.73, 113.98, 113.104, 113.105, 113.107, and 113.108.
6	Class 2,3,4,5, and 8 Warehouse operator	113.75, 113.109, and 113.110.
7	Class 6 Warehouse Operator	113.76, 113.109, 113.110, and 113.112.
8	Class 7 Warehouse Operator	113.71, 113.77, 113.110, and 113.112.
9	Container Station Operator	113.87, 113.98, and 113.108.
10	Foreign Trade Zone Operator	113.79.
For additional bond coverage available, refer to Part 113, Customs Regulations.		

¹Note.—The section numbers shown are for illustration purposes only.

Instructions

This part of the form will contain a detailed explanation of how to fill out the form.

[FR Doc. 81-15597 Filed 5-22-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 0972-73-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[A-5-FRL 1823-4]

Promulgation of Michigan State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: On May 6, 1980 (45 FR 29790), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced final rulemaking to approve, and, in part, conditionally approve certain Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission rules as a part of the Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP). The State is relying on these rules as part of its control strategy for nonattainment areas. On July 28, 1980, the State submitted to EPA amendments to Rules 283 and 610 as revisions to its SIP. The purpose of this notice is to propose EPA rulemaking action and solicit public comment on these revisions to the Michigan SIP.

DATE: Comments on the revision and on EPA's proposed rulemaking are due by June 25, 1981.

ADDRESSES: Copies of these SIP revisions are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following addresses:

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Public Information Reference Unit, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460

Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Air Quality Division, State Secondary Government Complex, General Office Building, 7150 Harris Drive, Lansing, Michigan 48917

Written comments should be sent to: Mr. Gary Gulezian, Chief, Regulatory Analysis Section, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6038.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Judy Kertcher, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Part D of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977, requires each State to revise its SIP to meet specific requirements for areas designated as not attaining the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). These SIP revisions must demonstrate attainment of the NAAQS by December 31, 1982, and in certain circumstances, no later than December 31, 1987, for ozone and/or carbon monoxide. The requirements for an approvable SIP are described in a Federal Register notice published April 4, 1979 (44 FR 20372). Supplements to the April 4, 1979 notice were published on July 2, 1979 (44 FR 38583), August 28, 1979 (44 FR 50371), September 17, 1979 (44 FR 53761) and November 23, 1979 (44 FR 67182).

On May 6, 1980 (45 FR 29790), EPA announced final rulemaking to approve, and, in part, to conditionally approve, Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission rules as a part of the Michigan SIP. In that notice (45 FR 29790, 29791), EPA approved those rules which had not been previously approved by EPA and on which the State is relying as part of its control strategy for nonattainment areas.

On July 28, 1980, Michigan submitted to EPA revisions to its State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions were amendments to Commission Rules 283 and 610. The amendments became effective on July 17, 1980. The following

sections discuss these amendments and EPA's proposed rulemaking actions.

Rule 283

The amendment to Rule 283 would exempt equipment used for hydraulic or hydrostatic testing from the requirement for a permit. Since there are no emissions of any significance related to this type of testing, EPA proposes to approve the amendment to Rule 283 as a revision to the Michigan SIP.

Footnote No. (facility)	Minimum coating transfer efficiency	
	EPA approved regulation	Amendment
2		
4		
5 (Wayne assembly and Wilson assembly) ..	60% on and after 12/31/82; 55% on and after 12/31/86.	50% on and after 12/31/86.
5 (Michigan truck and Dearborn assembly) ..	65% on and after 12/31/84	50% on and after 12/31/84.
7 (Jefferson truck)	55% on and after 12/31/79; 65% on and after 12/31/81.	50% on and after 12/31/81.
7 (Lynch Road)	65% on and after 12/31/82	50% on and after 12/31/82.
7 (Hamtramck and Warren Main)	65% on and after 12/31/83	50% on and after 12/31/83.
7 (Warren Compact)	65% on and after 12/31/84	50% on and after 12/31/84.

EPA has reviewed the proposed amendments to the minimum coating transfer efficiencies in Rule 610. These amendments do not impact the attainment demonstrations since there were no changes to the approved emission limitations. The revision changes only the technique employed to achieve equivalence with the limitations. The changes in the coating efficiencies are consistent with the July 3, 1979, policy memorandum from Richard G. Rhoads, Director, Control Programs Development Division, entitled "Appropriate Transfer Efficiency for Waterborne Equivalence". EPA proposes to approve as a revision to the Michigan SIP the amendments to Rule 610.

All interested persons are invited to comment on these revisions to the Michigan SIP and on USEPA's proposed action. Comments should be submitted to the address listed in the front of this notice. Public comments received on or before June 25, 1981, will be considered in USEPA's final rulemaking. All comments received will be available for inspection at the Region V Air Programs Branch, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. section 605(b), the Administrator has certified 46 FR 8709 that the attached rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The action only approves State actions.

Under Executive Order 12291 (Order), EPA must judge whether a regulation is "major" and, therefore, subject to the requirements of a regulatory impact

Rule 610

The amendment to Rule 610 consists of revisions to Table 62 which lists minimum coating transfer efficiencies for several emission limitations for automobile and light duty truck coating operations. These changes are found in the footnotes to Table 62. Changes in footnotes 2 and 4 apply to the entire Table; changes in footnotes 5 and 7 apply only to specified facilities.

analysis. Today's action does not constitute a major regulation because it merely approves regulations which were developed by the State and are currently effective in the area and exempts certain sources from compliance with the State regulation while providing other sources with alternate methods for compliance. This proposed rulemaking was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review as required by the Order.

This notice of proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority of Sections 110 and 172 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7410, 7502).

Dated: April 10, 1981
Valdas V. Adamkus,
Acting Regional Administrator.
[FR Doc. 81-15514 Filed 5-22-81; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-38-M

40 CFR Part 60

[AD-FRL 1803-2]

Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources; Asphalt Processing and Asphalt Roofing Manufacture

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; amendment and clarification.

SUMMARY: On November 18, 1980, "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources: Asphalt Processing and Asphalt Roofing Manufacture" were proposed in the Federal Register (45 FR